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#### Abstract

The kinetics of degenerate pendular rearrangement of 3 b, exo-4,4a,5-tetramethyl-3b,4,4a,5-tetra-hydro- 4 H -cyclopropa $[a]$ phenalen- 5 -yl cation and its endo epimer, generated under conditions ensuring their long life, were studied by dynamic NMR spectroscopy. The rearrangement mechanism is discussed with account taken of the results of quantum-chemical calculations and published data for related rearrangements.
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Using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy we previously [1] revealed degenerate rearrangement of 3 b ,exo-4,4a,5-tetramethyl-3b,4,4a,5-tetrahydro- 4 H -cyclopropa $[a]$ -phenalen-5-yl cation (Ia) and its endo epimer Ib which were generated under conditions ensuring their long life (Scheme 1).
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$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{a}) ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{b})
$$

Specificity of this rearrangement is that the bond between the $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{4 a}$ atoms is retained, while the $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ atom appears to be linked to $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ (cationic center), so that the three-membered ring is transferred to another side of the six-membered ring. Here, the groups attached to $\mathrm{C}^{4}$ do not change their position, i.e., there is no interconversion of cations Ia and Ib. Reversible migrations of the $\mathrm{C}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}-\mathrm{C}^{4}$ bond resemble pendular motion; therefore, the observed rearrangement may be referred to as pendular.

The goal of the present work was to study the kinetics and mechanism of the above degenerate rearrangement. Its kinetics were studied by dynamic NMR spectroscopy, and the results are collected in Table 1. As might be expected (cf. the data for other degenerate rearrangements of long-lived carbocations involving migrations of hydrocarbon groups [2]), the rate of the rearrangement of cations Ia and Ib almost does not depend on the acidity of the medium (Table 2).

Scheme 2 shows a one-step mechanism of the rearrangement which seems a priori to be the most probable. It is analogous to the mechanism of related circumambulatory rearrangement of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexenyl cations like II (Scheme 3) [3-6]. Its specific feature is inversion of configuration of that carbon atom in the three-membered ring which forms a bond with the cationic center. According to WoodwardHoffmann [7], such mechanism pertains to thermally allowed 1,4-C-C bond shifts.



A two-step mechanism involving successive 1,2-$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ shifts with formation of cyclobutyl-like cationic intermediates B (Scheme 4) was considered in [8]; it was proposed for some long-lived cyclopropylcarbinyl cations. However, this mechanism is not confirmed by the results of quantum-chemical calculations. First, structure $\mathbf{B}$ is not an intermediate but a high-energy transition state [9] (Fig. 1). Second, it implies that the rate of degenerate rearrangement of cation $\mathbf{I b}$ would be higher than the rate of rearrangement of cation Ia. According to the calculations [9], Ia is more stable than Ib (Fig. 1), and transition state $\mathbf{B}$ corresponding to the rearrangement of $\mathbf{I b}$ has lower energy than that for Ia ( $\Delta E=3.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).


Another two-step mechanism involving cyclopropylcarbinyl cation $\mathbf{C}$ as intermediate (Scheme 5) also seems to be improbable. As follows from the calculations, coercive approach of the $\mathrm{C}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{5}$ atoms to each other in cations Ia and Ib leads to transition states like $\mathbf{D}$ with loosening of the $\mathrm{C}^{3 \mathrm{~b}}-\mathrm{C}^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ bond (cf. [9]) and then to ions III with cis- and trans-dimethylvinyl

Scheme 5.

groups, respectively (Scheme 6) rather than to intermediates like C. Moreover, according to our recent data [9], structures like C are not intermediates but transition states for 1,2 -vinyl shift in degenerate rearrangements of ions III.


Degenerate rearrangements of ions $\mathbf{I a}$ and $\mathbf{I b}$ are unlikely to follow Scheme 6. The calculated energy barriers to the transformations of Ia and Ib into type

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of degenerate rearrangements of cations Ia and Ib in $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2: 1 \text {, by volume })^{\text {a }}$

| Cation | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{a}}, \\ \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\log A$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}$, <br> $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta S^{\ddagger}, \\ \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ia | $43.0 \pm 1.4$ | $11.0 \pm 0.3$ | $40.7 \pm 1.4$ | $-42.9 \pm 5.5$ |
| Ib | $52.3 \pm 2.1$ | $11.0 \pm 0.4$ | $49.7 \pm 2.1$ | $-42.2 \pm 7.3$ |

${ }^{a}$ Standard deviations are given with account taken of the Student coefficient for a confidence probability of 0.99 .

Table 2. Gibbs energies of activation for degenerate rearrangements of cations $\mathbf{I a}$ and $\mathbf{I b}$ in acid media at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Cation | Medium (volume ratio) | $\Delta G^{\neq}, \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Ia | $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2: 1)$ | 53 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(4: 1)$ | 54 |
| Ib | $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1)$ | 63 |
|  | $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2: 1)$ | 62 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1)$ | 64 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(4: 1)$ | 64 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1: 2)$ | 64 |



Fig. 1. Calculated energy profile for carbocationic rearrangements; relative energies ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) are given.

III cations (101.9 and $119.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively [9]) are much higher than those found experimentally (Table 1).

## Scheme 6.



The mechanisms shown in Schemes 7 and 8 should be ruled out for the following reason. Had they been operative, signals not only from 3b-Me and 5-Me but also from $4-\mathrm{Me}$ and 4 a -Me groups in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra would be averaged (cf. [1]); however, this is not observed experimentally.

Thus the mechanism shown in Scheme 2 should be accepted for the pendular degenerate rearrangement of cations Ia and Ib. As we already noted, it is similar to
the mechanism of circumambulatory rearrangement of ions IIa and IIb (Scheme 3). On the other hand, the three-membered ring in Ia and Ib is fused to sixmembered ring rather than five-membered as in IIa and IIb; the six-membered ring in the former is in turn peri-fused to aromatic naphthalene fragment, which gives rise to some specificity in the rearrangement of Ia and Ib. Unlike circumambulatory rearrangement which involves in succession both side bonds of the three-membered ring (and the latter migrates in both directions in a circular mode), only one of the two

Scheme 7.
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Scheme 8.
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nonequivalent side bonds participates in the pendular rearrangement, and circular migration of the threemembered ring is excluded. One more difference between these rearrangement is that the corresponding transition states have essentially different structures (according to quantum-chemical calculations; Table 3). The transition state in the pendular rearrangement is similar to structure $\mathbf{B}$, while that found for the circumambulatory rearrangement is closer to "opened" methyl(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)methyl cation (Fig. 2).

Quantum-chemical calculations gave energy barriers of 77.0 and $85.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for pendular degenerate rearrangements of epimeric cations Ia and $\mathbf{I b}$, respectively. These values exceed the experimental barriers, but the difference between the barriers for $\mathbf{I a}$ and $\mathbf{I b}$ is reproduced well. Presumably, the lower rate of degenerate rearrangement of cation Ib compared to Ia results from unfavorable spatial interaction between the endomethyl group and skeletal atoms in the transition state (cf. [4]).

According to Brouwer and Hogeveen [10], the described pendular degenerate rearrangement, as well as many other rearrangements of carbocations, is a particular case of intramolecular electrophilic substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E} i}$ reaction).

## EXPERIMENTAL

Carbocations were generated using commercial trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (Aldrich), doubly distilled fluorosulfonic acid (bp $158-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ dried over 4-A molecular sieves. Cations Ia and Ib were generated by adding a solution of 6b,7,8,8a-tetramethyl-6b,8a-dihydrocyclobuta $[a]$ acenaphthylene [1] in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ under stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The temperature of NMR probes (Bruker AM-400 and DRX-500) was calibrated using a standard sample of methanol $\left(-50\right.$ to $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and naphthalene ( $\mathrm{mp} 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); interpolation was performed for intermediate temperatures.

The rate constants for pendular rearrangements of cations Ia and Ib were determined by comparing the

Table 3. Distances $(d, \AA)$ between carbon atoms in the transition states for rearrangements of cations $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{I I}^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Distance | Ia | Ib | IIa | IIb |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}^{3 \mathrm{~b}(5)}-\mathrm{C}^{4}$ | 1.739 | 1.675 | 2.169 | 2.282 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{3 \mathrm{~b}(5)}-\mathrm{C}^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ | 1.453 | 1.464 | 1.500 | 1.507 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{4}-\mathrm{C}^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ | 1.680 | 1.755 | 1.497 | 1.492 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atom numbering in the three-membered ring in ions I and II is assumed to be the same.

Table 4. Rate constants ( $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) of degenerate rearrangements of cations Ia and Ib in $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2:1, by volume)

| Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Ia | $\mathbf{I b}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| -43 | $4^{\mathrm{a}} ; 4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - |
| -33 | $13^{\mathrm{a}} ; 12^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - |
| -23 | $43^{\mathrm{a}} ; 41^{\mathrm{b}}$ | - |
| -13 | $100^{\mathrm{a}} ; 110^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $2^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| -3 | $300^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5.5^{\mathrm{a}} ; 5.5^{\mathrm{b}} ; 5^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 7 | $800^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $15^{\mathrm{a}} ; 13^{\mathrm{b}} ; 15^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 17 | $1700^{\mathrm{a}} ; 1700^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $40^{\mathrm{a}} ; 34^{\mathrm{b}} ; 37^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 27 | $3000^{\mathrm{a}} ; 3000^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $90^{\mathrm{a}} ; 78^{\mathrm{b}} ; 95^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 37 | - |  |
| $180^{\mathrm{a}} ; 200^{\mathrm{b}} ; 220^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |
| 47 | - | $500^{\mathrm{a}} ; 500^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

[^0]

Fig. 2. Calculated structures of transition states for ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) pendular rearrangement of cation Ib (two projections) and (c) circumambulatory rearrangement of cation IIb. Methyl hydrogen atoms are not shown. The structures of transition states for the degenerate rearrangements of cations Ia and Ia are similar.
experimental NMR spectra with exchange spectra calculated by MEX and MEXICO programs [11]. The kinetic parameters (Table 1) were determined from the temperature dependence of the rate constants (Table 4) using the Arrhenius and Eyring equations.

Quantum-chemical calculations of the geometric parameters and energies of cations were performed by the DFT method \{PBE potential [12]; $3 \zeta$ basis set, $(11 s 6 p 2 d) /[6 s 3 p 2 d]$ for carbon atoms and $(5 s 1 p) /[3 s 1 p]$ for hydrogen atoms $\}$ using Priroda software [13].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated from signals of aromatic protons.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated from signals of protons in the $3 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $5-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups.
    ${ }^{c}$ Calculated from the $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{7}$ signals.

